## Federal Offices

**Representative to the 116th United States Congress - District 4**  
 *(Vote for One)*  
- Karen McCormick  
- Ken Buck  
- **Write-in:**  

**Representative to the 116th United States Congress - District 6**  
 *(Vote for One)*  
- Mike Coffman  
- Jason Crow  
- Kat Martin  
- Dan Chapin  
- **Write-in:**  

**Representative to the 116th United States Congress - District 7**  
 *(Vote for One)*  
- Ed Perlmutter  
- Mark Barrington  
- Jennifer Nackeyrud  
- **Write-in:**  

## State Offices

**Governor/Lieutenant Governor**  
 *(Vote for One Pair)*  
- Jared Polis / Dianne Primavera  
- Walker Stapleton / Lang Sias  
- Bill Hammons / Eric Bodenstab  
- Scott Helker / Michele Poague  

**Secretary of State**  
 *(Vote for One)*  
- Wayne Williams  
- Jena Griswold  
- Amanda Campbell  
- Blake Huber  
- **Write-in:**  

**State Treasurer**  
 *(Vote for One)*  
- Brian Watson  
- Dave Young  
- Gerald F. Kiparick  
- **Write-in:**  

**Attorney General**  
 *(Vote for One)*  
- Phil Weiser  
- George Brauchler  
- William F. Robinson III  
- **Write-in:**  

**Board of Education Member - Congressional District 4**  
 *(Vote for One)*  
- Tim Krug  
- Debra L. Scheffel  
- **Write-in:**  

**Regent of the University of Colorado - At Large**  
 *(Vote for One)*  
- Lesley Smith  
- Ken Montera  
- Christopher E. Otwell  
- James K. Treibert  
- **Write-in:**  

## State Representative - District 31**  
 *(Vote for One)*  
- Rico Figueroa  
- Yadir Caraveo  
- Bree Owens  
- **Write-in:**  

**State Representative - District 32**  
 *(Vote for One)*  
- Adrienne Benavidez  
- **Write-in:**  

**State Representative - District 34**  
 *(Vote for One)*  
- Alexander "Skinny" Winkle  
- Kyle Mullica  
- **Write-in:**  

**State Representative - District 35**  
 *(Vote for One)*  
- Shannon Bird  
- Bruce Baker  
- Ken Biles  
- **Write-in:**  

**State Representative - District 56**  
 *(Vote for One)*  
- Rod Bockenfeld  
- Dave Rose  
- Kevin Gulbranson  
- **Write-in:**  

**Regional Transportation District Director - District B**  
 *(Vote for One)*  
- Chris Martinez  
- Shontel Marie Lewis  
- JoyAnn Keener Ruscha  
- **Write-in:**  

**Regional Transportation District Director - District J**  
 *(Vote for One)*  
- Vince Buzek  
- **Write-in:**  

---

**WARNING:** Any person who, by use of force or other means, unjustifyably influences an eligible voter to vote in any particular manner or to refrain from voting, or who falsifies, alters, forges, or counterfeits any mail ballot before or after it has been cast, or who destroys, defaces, mutilates, or tampers with a ballot is subject, upon conviction, to imprisonment, or to a fine, or both. Section 1-7.5-107(3)(b), C.R.S.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regional Transportation District Director - District K (Vote for One)</th>
<th>County Coroner (Vote for One)</th>
<th>Judicial Retention Questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Troy L. Whitmore</td>
<td>Kara Paify Republican</td>
<td>Shall Judge Donald S. Quick of the 17th Judicial District be retained in office?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul D. Solano</td>
<td>Monica Broncucia-Jordan Democratic</td>
<td>Shall Judge Brian Nathaniel Bowen of the Adams County Court be retained in office?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerald Stephen Jaramillo</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Town of Lochbuie (Vote for not more than one)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jacob R. Lofgren</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larry Stock</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lochbuie Trustee (Vote for not more than three)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>David E. Ott</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamie Jeffery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Richard Counterman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant Doherty</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County Offices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>County Commissioner - District 3 (Vote for One)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larry Hoy Republican</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emma Pinter Democratic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County Commissioner - District 4 (Vote for One)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Steve O'Dorisio Democratic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joyce Thomas Republican</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County Clerk and Recorder (Vote for One)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Josh Zygielbaum Democratic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stan Martin Republican</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County Treasurer (Vote for One)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brigitte C. Grimm Republican</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisa L. Culpepper Democratic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County Assessor (Vote for One)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patsy Melonakis Republican</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ken Musso Democratic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County Sheriff (Vote for One)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rick Reigenborn Democratic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike McIntosh Republican</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County Surveyor (Vote for One)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Shall Judge Michael A. Cox of the Adams County Court be retained in office? |
Shall Judge Cindy Dang of the Adams County Court be retained in office? |
Shall Judge Byron Lynn Howell of the Adams County Court be retained in office? |
Shall Judge Sabino E. Romano of the Adams County Court be retained in office? |
Shall Judge Dianna L. Roybal of the Adams County Court be retained in office? |
Ballot Measures

Ballot questions referred by the general assembly or by initiative or referendum to the voters are listed by ballot number. A question listed as an "initiative" proposes a change to the Colorado constitutional definition of the ballot question as "substantive," and a ballot question listed as a "proposition" proposes a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes. A "yes" vote on any ballot question is a vote in favor of changing current law or existing circumstances, and a "no" vote on any ballot question is a vote against changing current law or existing circumstances.

State Measures

Amendment V (CONSTITUTIONAL)
Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a reduction in the age qualification for a member of the general assembly from twenty-five years to twenty-one years?

- Yes/For
- No/Against

Amendment W (CONSTITUTIONAL)
Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a change in the format of the election ballot for judicial retention elections?

- Yes/For
- No/Against

Amendment X (CONSTITUTIONAL)
Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning changing the industrial hemp definition from a constitutional definition to a statutory definition?

- Yes/For
- No/Against

Amendment Y (CONSTITUTIONAL)
Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a change to the way that congressional districts are drawn, and, in connection therewith, taking the duty to draw congressional districts away from the state legislature and giving it to an independent commission, composed of twelve citizens who possess specified qualifications; prohibiting any one political party’s control of the commission by requiring that one-third of the commissioners will not be affiliated with any political party, one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state’s largest political party, and one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state’s second largest political party, prohibiting certain persons, including professional lobbyists, federal campaign committee employees, and federal, state, and local elected officials, from serving on the commission; limiting judicial review of a map to a determination by the supreme court of whether the commission or its nonpartisan staff committed an abuse of discretion; requiring the commission to draw districts with a focus on communities of interest and political subdivisions, such as cities and counties, and then to maximize the number of competitive congressional seats to the extent possible; and prohibiting maps from being drawn to dilute the electoral influence of any racial or ethnic group or to protect any incumbent, any political candidate, or any political party?

- Yes/For
- No/Against

Amendment A (CONSTITUTIONAL)
Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution that prohibits slavery and involuntary servitude as punishment for a crime, and thereby prohibits slavery and involuntary servitude in all circumstances?

- Yes/For
- No/Against

Amendment 2 (CONSTITUTIONAL)
Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concealing a change to the manner in which state senate and state house of representatives districts are drawn, and, in connection therewith, reforming the existing legislative reapportionment commission by expanding the commission to twelve members and authorizing the appointment of members who possess specified qualifications; prohibiting any one political party’s control of the commission by requiring that one-third of the commissioners will not be affiliated with any political party, one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state’s largest political party, and one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state’s second largest political party, prohibiting certain persons, including professional lobbyists, federal campaign committee employees, and federal, state, and local elected officials, from serving on the commission; limiting judicial review of a map to a determination by the supreme court of whether the commission or its nonpartisan staff committed an abuse of discretion; requiring the commission to draw state legislative districts using communities of interest as well as political subdivisions, such as cities and counties, and then to maximize the number of competitive state legislative districts at the extent possible and retaining maps from being drawn to dilute the electoral influence of any racial or ethnic group or to protect any incumbent, any political candidate, or any political party?

- Yes/For
- No/Against

Amendment 73 (CONSTITUTIONAL)
Shall state taxes be increased by 11,000,000 annually, subject to amendment, for the purpose of funding Colorado constitution and a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning funding relating to preschool through high school public education, and in connection therewith, creating an exception to the single rate state income tax revenue that is dedicated to the funding of public schools; increasing income tax rates incrementally for individuals, trusts, and estates using four tax brackets starting at 37% for income above $150,000 and increasing to 3.62% for income above $500,000; increasing the corporate income tax rate by 1.37%; for purposes of school district property taxes, reducing the current residential assessment rate of 7.2% to 7.0% and the current nonresidential assessment rate of 29% to 24%; requiring the revenue from the income tax increases to be deposited in a dedicated public education fund and allowing the revenue collected to be spent only as voter-approved revenue changes; requiring the legislature to annually appropriate money from the fund to school districts to support early childhood through high school public educational programs on an equitable basis throughout the state without decreasing general fund appropriations; directing the legislature to enact, regularly review, and revise when necessary, a new public school finance law that meets specified criteria; until the legislature has enacted a new public school finance law, requiring the money in the fund to be annually appropriated for specified education programs and purposes, requiring the money in the fund to be used to support only public schools; requiring general fund appropriations for public education to increase by inflation, up to 5%, annually; and requiring the department of education to commission a study of the use of the money in the fund within five years?

- Yes/For
- No/Against

Amendment 74 (CONSTITUTIONAL)
Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution requiring the government to award just compensation to owners of private property when a government law or regulation reduces the fair market value of the property?

- Yes/For
- No/Against

Amendment 75 (CONSTITUTIONAL)
Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution providing that if any candidate in a primary or general election for state office directs more than one million dollars in support of his or her own election, then every candidate for that office in the same election may accept five times the amount of campaign contributions normally allowed?

- Yes/For
- No/Against
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposition 109 (STATUTORY)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SHALL STATE DEBT BE INCREASED $3,500,000,000, WITH A MAXIMUM REPAYMENT COST OF $5,200,000,000, WITHOUT RAISING TAXES OR FEES, BY A CHANGE TO THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES REQUIRING THE ISSUANCE OF TRANSPORTATION REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, NOTE PROCEEDS SHALL BE RETAINED AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND USED EXCLUSIVELY TO FUND SPECIFIED ROAD AND BRIDGE EXPANSION, CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE, AND REPAIR PROJECTS THROUGHOUT THE STATE?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

☐ Yes/For  ☐ No/Against

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposition 110 (STATUTORY)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SHALL STATE TAXES BE INCREASED $766,700,000 ANNUALLY FOR A TWENTY-YEAR PERIOD, AND STATE DEBT SHALL BE INCREASED $9,400,000,000, TO PAY FOR STATE AND LOCAL TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, CHANGING THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES TO: 1) INCREASE THE STATE SALES AND USE TAX RATE BY 0.62% BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2019; REQUIRING 45% OF THE NEW REVENUE TO FUND STATE TRANSPORTATION SAFETY, MAINTENANCE, AND CONGESTION RELATED PROJECTS, 40% TO FUND MUNICIPAL AND COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, AND 15% TO FUND MULTIMODAL TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, INCLUDING BIKE, PEDESTRIAN, AND TRANSIT INFRASTRUCTURE; 2) AUTHORIZE THE ISSUANCE OF ADDITIONAL TRANSPORTATION REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES TO FUND PRIORITY STATE TRANSPORTATION MAINTENANCE AND CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS, INCLUDING MULTIMODAL CAPITAL PROJECTS; AND 3) PROVIDE THAT ALL REVENUE RESULTING FROM THE TAX RATE INCREASE AND PROCEEDS FROM ISSUANCE OF REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES ARE VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGES EXEMPT FROM ANY STATE OR LOCAL REVENUE SPENDING, OR OTHER LIMITATIONS IN LAW?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

☐ Yes/For  ☐ No/Against

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposition 111 (STATUTORY)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning limitations on payday lenders, and, in connection therewith, reducing allowable charges on payday loans to an annual percentage rate of no more than thirty-six percent?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

☐ Yes/For  ☐ No/Against

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposition 112 (STATUTORY)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning a statewide minimum distance requirement for new oil and gas development, and, in connection therewith, changing existing distance requirements to require that any new oil and gas development be located at least 2,500 feet from any structure intended for human occupancy and any other area designated by the measure, the state, or a local government and authorizing the state or a local government to increase the minimum distance requirement?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

☐ Yes/For  ☐ No/Against

This ballot may consist of multiple pages. Please review and vote both sides of all pages.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City of Federal Heights</th>
<th>City of Arvada</th>
<th>City of Aurora Ballot Question 3I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CITY OF FEDERAL HEIGHTS BALLOT ISSUE 2A</strong></td>
<td><strong>CITY OF ARVADA BALLOT ISSUE 3F</strong></td>
<td><strong>CONTINUING THE PHOTO RED LIGHT ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Yes/For ☐ No/Against</td>
<td>☐ Yes/For ☐ No/Against</td>
<td>Shall Aurora continue to issue photo red light ticket invitations to drivers that enter an intersection after the traffic light turns red and after review by law enforcement personnel with a portion of the revenues of such tickets funding nonprofit organizations with a nexus to law enforcement?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City of Northglenn</th>
<th>City of Aurora</th>
<th>City of Aurora Ballot Question 3J</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CITY OF NORTHGLENN BALLOT ISSUE 3E</strong></td>
<td><strong>CITY OF AURORA BALLOT ISSUE 3G</strong></td>
<td><strong>EXTENSION OF FIRE AND POLICE PROBATIONARY PERIOD</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARJUANA SALES TAX</td>
<td>MEDICAL MARJUANA SALES AND USE TAX</td>
<td>Shall Article III of the City Charter of the City of Aurora be amended to change the probationary period for newly appointed firefighters and police officers from the end of the first year of employment to one year from the date the firefighter or police officer completes academy training?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHALL CITY OF NORTHGLENN TAXES BE INCREASED BY $1,400,000 ANNUALLY IN THE FIRST FISCAL YEAR OF OCTOBER 1, 2019, AND IN EACH THEREAFTER ON THE SALES OF RETAIL MARJUANA AND MEDICAL MARJUANA, AND RETAIL MARJUANA AND MEDICAL MARJUANA PRODUCTS, WHICH SHALL BE IN ADDITION TO THE MUNICIPAL SALES TAX ON SUCH SALES, AND SHALL ALL REVENUES DERIVED FROM SUCH TAX BE COLLECTED AND SPENT TO BE USED EXCLUSIVELY FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS AND PUBLIC FACILITIES WITH THE RATE OF THE TAX BEING ALLOWED TO INCREASE OR DECREASE NO MORE THAN 1% ANNUALLY WITHOUT FURTHER VOTER APPROVAL SO LONG AS THE RATE OF TAXATION DOES NOT EXCEED 10%, AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY EXPENDITURE, REVENUE RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, § 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?</td>
<td>☐ Yes/For ☐ No/Against</td>
<td>☐ Yes/For ☐ No/Against</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City of Aurora</th>
<th>Town of Lochbuie</th>
<th>Town of Lochbuie Ballot Question 3Q</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CITY OF AURORA BALLOT ISSUE 3H</strong></td>
<td><strong>TOWN OF LOCHBUIE BALLOT QUESTION 3R</strong></td>
<td><strong>TOWN OF LOCHBUIE BALLOT QUESTION 3S</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDICAL MARJUANA GROWS AND MANUFACTURING</td>
<td><strong>THE LOCHBUIE CHARTER, OR ANY OTHER LAW WHICH PURPORTS TO LIMIT AURORA’S REVENUES OR EXPENDITURES?</strong></td>
<td>Shall the town of Lochbuie be allowed to publish its regular statement of bill payments and contracts awarded on the town web site, rather than in the legal notices of the newspaper, saving the town publishing costs, and so long as such statements are published in full on the town web site within twenty days of taking action to pay such bills or enter into such contracts?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shall Aurora allow the licensing and operation of optional premises cultivation facilities and marijuana-infused product manufacturing facilities?</td>
<td>☐ Yes/For ☐ No/Against</td>
<td>☐ Yes/For ☐ No/Against</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Town of Lochbuie</th>
<th>Town of Lochbuie Ballot Question 3T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOWN OF LOCHBUIE BALLOT QUESTION 3T</strong></td>
<td><strong>WITHOUT CREATING ANY NEW TAXES OR INCREASING ANY EXISTING TAX RATE, FOR PROPERTY TAX REVENUE COLLECTED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2018 AND ALL FUTURE PROPERTY TAX REVENUE, SHALL THE TOWN OF LOCHBUIE BE PERMITTED TO LEVY, RETAIN AND SPEND SUCH TOWN REVENUES WITHOUT THE LIMITATIONS THAT WOULD OTHERWISE BE IMPOSED BY THE 5.5% LIMITATION UNDER C.R.S. SECTION 29-1-101?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WESTMINSTER PUBLIC SCHOOLS BALLOT ISSUE 4A</strong></td>
<td><strong>ADAMS-ARAPAHOE SCHOOL DISTRICT 28J BALLOT ISSUE 5A</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHALL WESTMINSTER PUBLIC SCHOOLS TAXES BE INCREASED BY $9.9 MILLION IN 2018 FOR COLLECTION IN 2019 AND BY SUCH AMOUNTS AS MAY BE RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY THE IMPOSITION OF A PROPERTY TAX MILL LEVY UP TO 14.5 MILLS, TO BE USED FOR GENERAL FUND PURPOSES INCLUDING CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS AND EDUCATIONAL PRIORITIES WHICH MAY INCLUDE BUT ARE NOT LIMITED TO: IMPROVING SCHOOL SAFETY AND SECURITY BY MAKING PRIORITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS THAT ENHANCE SAFE STUDENT ENVIRONMENTS; PROVIDING FUNDS FOR CONTINUING AND EXPANDING EXISTING VOCATIONAL/CAREER PROGRAMS AND TO CREATE PARTNERSHIPS WITH ORGANIZATIONS LIKE THE BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB TO ENABLE AND ENHANCE LEARNING OPPORTUNITIES FOR COMMUNITY YOUTH; ATTRACTING AND RETAINING HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS, MENTAL HEALTH PROFESSIONALS, AND COUNSELORS TO BE COMPETITIVE WITH SURROUNDING DISTRICTS IN ADAMS COUNTY; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT ANNUAL REVENUES PURSUANT TO THE MILL LEVY OVERRIDE APPROVED BY THE VOTERS IN 2002 EQUAL TO THE GREATER OF $5.95 MILLION OR THE AMOUNT WHICH IS GENERATED BY A MILL LEVY OF UP TO 11.3 MILLS; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND SPEND ALL REVENUES FROM SUCH TAXES AND THE EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF SUCH REVENUES AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE LIMITS WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE APPLY UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 29 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?</td>
<td>SHALL JOINT SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 28J AURORA PUBLIC SCHOOLS TAXES BE INCREASED $35 MILLION IN COLLECTION YEAR 2019 AND BY WHATEVER AMOUNTS AS MAY BE GENERATED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY THE IMPOSITION OF AN ADDITIONAL MILL LEVY OF NOT MORE THAN 13.7 MILLS, FOR GENERAL FUND PURPOSES INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE FOLLOWING PROVISIONS: SUPPORTING STUDENT HEALTH AND SAFETY BY: EXPANDING STAFF AND TRAINING DEDICATED TO STUDENT MENTAL HEALTH; INCREASING PAY TO RECRUIT AND RETAIN HIGH QUALITY TEACHERS; EXPANDING AFTER-SCHOOL LEARNING PROGRAMS FOR K THROUGH 5 ELEMENTARY STUDENTS; ADDING AND PROVIDING SEATS BELTS ON BUSES; BY AN ADDITIONAL PROPERTY TAX MILL LEVY IN EXCESS OF THE LEVY AUTHORIZED FOR THE DISTRICT'S GENERAL FUND, WITHOUT LIMITATION AS TO RATE, PURSUANT TO AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 22-54-108, C.R.S., SUCH ADDITIONAL TAXES TO BE DEPOSITED IN THE GENERAL FUND, AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND SPEND ALL REVENUES FROM SUCH TAXES AND THE EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF SUCH REVENUES AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE LIMITS WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE APPLY UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION? Bennett School District 29J <strong>BENNETT 29J 3D BALLOT ISSUE 5B</strong> Limited General Fund Override SHALL BENNETT SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 29J TAXES BE INCREASED $1.6 MILLION (2018 ESTIMATE) ANNUALLY FOR A LIMITED SEVEN-YEAR PERIOD COMMENCING IN COLLECTION YEAR 2019 AND AFTER COLLECTION YEAR 2019 BY WHATEVER AMOUNTS ARE RAISED FOR THE LIMITED PERIOD (ENDING IN COLLECTION YEAR 2025) FROM AN OVERRIDE MILL LEVY IMPOSED AT A RATE OF 9.971 MILLS, WHICH AUTHORIZATION SHALL BE SUBJECT TO THE CONDITION THAT THE ANNUAL RATE AUTHORIZED BY THIS BALLOT ISSUE SHALL BE REDUCED TO THE EXTENT OF ANY BOND REDEMPTION MILLS LEVIED BY THE DISTRICT IN THE FUTURE FOR ITS OUTSTANDING BONDS, THE NET EFFECT BEING THAT THIS VOTER AUTHORIZATION DOES NOT CAUSE A NET INCREASE IN THE TOTAL MILL LEVY CURRENTLY IMPOSED BY THE DISTRICT WITHOUT FUTURE VOTER APPROVAL, WITH THE MONEYS FROM THIS OVERRIDE TO BE DEPOSITED INTO THE GENERAL FUND OF THE DISTRICT AND USED FOR ADDITIONAL CLASSROOM CONSTRUCTION AND TO MEET THE IMMEDIATE FACILITY NEEDS OF THE DISTRICT?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Yes/For</strong></td>
<td><strong>No/Against</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mapleton School District**

**MAPLETON SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 1 BALLOT ISSUE 4B**

SHALL THE DIRECTOR DISTRICT PLAN OF REPRESENTATION, ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF EDUCATION OF ADAMS COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 1, ALSO KNOWN AS MAPLETON PUBLIC SCHOOLS, WHICH RESULTED FROM AN AT-LARGE PLAN OF REPRESENTATION TO A DIRECTOR DISTRICT PLAN OF REPRESENTATION WHERE ONE MEMBER OF THE BOARD WILL RESIDE IN EACH OF THE FIVE DIRECTOR DISTRICTS BUT ALL DIRECTORS WILL BE VOTED ON AT-LARGE BY ALL ELIGIBLE ELECTORS IN THE DISTRICT BE APPROVED? | **Yes/For** | **No/Against** |

---

This ballot may consist of multiple pages. Please review and vote both sides of all pages.
Anythink Libraries

ANYTHINK (AKA RANGEVIEW LIBRARY DISTRICT) BALLOT ISSUE 6A

SHALL ANYTHINK, ALSO KNOWN AS RANGEVIEW LIBRARY DISTRICT, SERVING THORNTON, NORTHGLENN, COMMERCE CITY, BRIGHTON, PERL MACK AREA, BENNETT AND UNINCORPORATED ADAMS COUNTY TAXES BE INCREASED 11.6 MILLION DOLLARS IN 2019, AND BY WHATSOEVER AMOUNT IS RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY AN ADDITIONAL MILL LEVY NOT TO EXCEED 2.2 MILLS TO BE USED TO OPERATE AND EXPAND LIBRARY FACILITIES AND TO IMPROVE LIBRARY SERVICES WHICH MAY INCLUDE:

EXPANDING LIBRARY PROGRAMS FOR KIDS, TEENS, FAMILIES, ADULTS AND SENIORS, INCLUDING LITERACY PROGRAMS, AFTER SCHOOL ARTS AND SCIENCE PROGRAMS, AND MENTORSHIP OPPORTUNITIES;

EXPANDING INTERNET ACCESS, TECHNOLOGY PROGRAMS, ONLINE SKILLS TRAINING, CAREER COACHING AND DEVELOPMENT, AND LIFELONG-LEARNING CLASSES;

PURCHASING ADDITIONAL BOOKS, E-BOOKS, DVDS, AND CDS;

EXPANDING LIBRARY HOURS AND SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY LEARNING OPPORTUNITIES;

CONSTRUCTING NEW LIBRARIES AND MAINTAINING EXISTING FACILITIES TO MEET THE NEEDS OF ADAMS COUNTY'S GROWING COMMUNITY

AND ANY OTHER USES PERMITTED BY LAW; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND SPEND ALL REVENUES GENERATED FROM THE MILL LEVY INCREASE, AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE AND SPENDING CHANGE AND AN EXCEPTION TO ANY STATUTORY LIMITS, INCLUDING SECTION 29-1-301, C.R.S. AND ANY CONSTITUTIONAL LIMITS, INCLUDING ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, THAT WOULD OTHERWISE APPLY?

☐ Yes/For  ☐ No/Against

South Adams County Fire Protection District

SOUTH ADAMS COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BALLOT ISSUE 6B

Mill Levy Increase

SHALL THE SOUTH ADAMS COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED $5,506,000 (FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR DOLLAR INCREASE) ANNUALLY, BEGINNING IN LEVY YEAR 2018 FOR COLLECTION IN CALENDAR YEAR 2019) BY INCREASING THE DISTRICT'S EXISTING PROPERTY TAX BY 4.85 MILLS, TO ENABLE THE DISTRICT TO CONTINUE PROVIDING CRITICAL FIRE, MEDICAL, RESCUE AND OTHER EMERGENCY AND NON-EMERGENCY SUPPORT SERVICES TO ITS FAST-GROWING COMMUNITIES, AND SHALL ALL REVENUE AND ANY REVENUES ON THIS TAX CONSTITUTE A PERMANENT VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE WITHIN THE MEANING OF ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE LIMITATIONS SET FORTH IN SECTION 29-1-301 OF THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, AND ANY OTHER LAW?

☐ Yes/For  ☐ No/Against

Aberdeen Metropolitan District No 2

ABERDEEN METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 BALLOT ISSUE 6C

SHALL ABERDEEN METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO 2 TAXES BE INCREASED $4,500,000 ANNUALLY OR BY SUCH LESSOR AMOUNT AS MAY BE DEEMED NECESSARY BY THE DISTRICT'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS TO FINANCIALLY ENABLE THE DISTRICT TO PAY FOR ITS OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE AND OTHER OPERATIONAL-RELATED EXPENSE OBLIGATIONS, SUCH TAXES TO CONSIST OF AN AD VALOREM MILL LEVY IMPOSED WITHOUT LIMITATION OF RATE, OR WITH SUCH LIMITATIONS AS MAY BE DETERMINED BY THE BOARD, AND IN AMOUNTS SUFFICIENT TO PRODUCE THE PURPOSE OF PAYING THE DISTRICT'S OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE, AND OTHER OPERATIONAL-RELATED EXPENSES, AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES AND INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE IN 2019 AND IN EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, OR SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES?

☐ Yes/For  ☐ No/Against

Central Colorado Water Conservancy District

CENTRAL COLORADO WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT GROUND WATER MANAGEMENT SUBDISTRICT BALLOT ISSUE 10E

GROUND WATER MANAGEMENT SUBDISTRICT DEBT

SHALL THE GROUND WATER MANAGEMENT SUBDISTRICT OF THE CENTRAL COLORADO WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT DEBT BE INCREASED UP TO $46.7 MILLION, WITH A MAXIMUM REPAYMENT COST OF UP TO $91.9 MILLION, AND SHALL SUBDISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED UP TO $4.4 MILLION ANNUALLY FOR THE PURPOSE OF DEVELOPING, ACQUIRING AND MANAGING RELIABLE WATER RESOURCES THROUGH DEBT FINANCING TO:

• INCREASE WATER SUPPLIES NECESSARY TO SUPPORT AND MAINTAIN A VIBRANT AND BUSINESS COMMUNITY THROUGH DEVELOPMENT OF WATER PROJECTS TO CAPTURE WATER DURING TIMES OF HIGH SUPPLY, FOR USE DURING TIMES OF NEED;

• LESSEN DROUGHT IMPACT THROUGH THE ACQUISITION OF SENIOR WATER RIGHTS;

• REPLACE LEASED MUNICIPAL WATER RESOURCES THAT ARE BEING CONSUMED BY GROWTH IN AREA MUNICIPALITIES, AND

• CONSTRUCT AND IMPROVE WATER STORAGE RESERVOIRS AND OTHER FACILITIES TO MORE EFFICIENTLY USE WATER AVAILABLE TO THE SUBDISTRICT,

SUCH DEBT TO CONSIST OF THE ISSUANCE AND PAYMENT OF BONDS OR SIMILAR LIMITED TAX OBLIGATIONS, WHICH DEBT SHALL BEAR INTEREST AT A MAXIMUM NET EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE NOT TO EXCEED 5.5% PER ANNUM AND SHALL BE DATED AND SOLD AT SUCH TIMES OR TIMES, AT SUCH PRICES (AT, ABOVE OR BELOW PAR) AND IN SUCH MANNER AND CONTAINING SUCH TERMS, NOT INCONSISTENT HEREWITH, AS THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS MAY DETERMINE; AND SHALL (I) AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES BE LEVIED IN ANY YEAR AT A LIMITED MILL LEVY RATE NOT TO EXCEED 6 MILLS, EXCEPT AS ALLOWED IN SECTION 37-45-126 OF THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, AND IN SUCH AMOUNTS AS SET FORTH ABOVE AND (II) THE SUBDISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO ENCUMBER AND PLEDGE ANY OTHER REVENUES OF THE SUBDISTRICT TO BE USED TO PAY THE PRINCIPAL OF AND INTEREST ON SUCH BONDS AS THE SAME BECOME DUE AND TO FUND ANY RESERVES FOR THE PAYMENT THEREOF?

☐ Yes/For  ☐ No/Against

This ballot may consist of multiple pages. Please review and vote both sides of all pages.
**Greater Brighton Fire Protection District**

**GREATER BRIGHTON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BALLOT ISSUE 7F**

**Mill Levy Increase**

SHALL GREATER BRIGHTON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED BY $2,907,826 (FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR DOLLAR INCREASE) ANNUALLY, BEGINNING IN LEVY YEAR 2018 FOR COLLECTION IN CALENDAR YEAR 2019 BY INCREASING THE DISTRICT’S EXISTING PROPERTY TAX BY 3.7 MILLS TO ENABLE THE DISTRICT TO CONTINUE PROVIDING CRITICAL FIRE, EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES, RESCUE AND OTHER EMERGENCY AND NON-EMERGENCY SUPPORT SERVICES TO ITS FAST-GROWING COMMUNITIES, AND SHALL ALL REVENUE AND ANY EARNINGS ON THIS TAX CONSTITUTE A PERMANENT VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE WITHIN THE MEANING OF ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION AND AN EXCPTION TO THE LIMITATIONS SET FORTH IN SECTION 29-1-301 OF THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, AND ANY OTHER LAW?

- Yes/For
- No/Against

**Urban Drainage and Flood Control District**

**URBAN DRAINAGE AND FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT BALLOT ISSUE 7G**

SHALL URBAN DRAINAGE AND FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED $14.9 MILLION IN 2019 RESULTING IN AN ANNUAL TAX INCREASE NOT TO EXCEED $1.97 IN 2019 FOR EACH $100,000 OF ACTUAL RESIDENTIAL VALUATION AND BY SUCH AMOUNT AS MAY BE RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER FROM A LEVY NOT TO EXCEED 1.0 MILLS TO PAY FOR DISTRICT WORK IN COORDINATION WITH LOCAL GOVERNMENTS, INCLUDING:

1. MAINTAINING EARLY FLOOD WARNING GAUGES TO PROVIDE POTENTIAL EVACUATION WARNINGS;
2. PROVIDING TRAILS, WILDLIFE HABITAT AND RECREATIONAL ACCESS TO RESIDENTS BY PRESERVING THOUSANDS OF ACRES OF PARKS AND OPEN SPACE IN FLOODPLAIN AREAS THAT PROTECT THE ENVIRONMENT AND PRIVATE PROPERTY;
3. REMOVING DEBRIS, GARBAGE AND OBSTRUCTIONS FROM STREAMS, CREEKS AND RIVERS RESULTING IN REDUCED RISK TO THE HEALTH AND SAFETY OF RESIDENTS, PROTECTING PROPERTY, AND RESTORING NATURAL BEAUTY;

WITH THE DISTRICT’S ENTIRE MILL LEVY RATE SUBJECT TO STATUTORY CAPS AND TO ADJUSTMENT TO OFFSET REFUNDS, ASSESSMENTS AND CHANGES TO THE PERCENTAGE OF ACTUAL VALUATION USED TO DETERMINE ASSESSED VALUATION AND SHALL ALL DISTRICT REVENUES BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT NOTWITHSTANDING ANY LIMITS PROVIDED BY LAW?

- Yes/For
- No/Against

**Southeast Weld Fire Protection District**

**SOUTHEAST WELD FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BALLOT ISSUE 7I**

SHALL SOUTHEAST WELD FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED BY $625,000 ANNUALLY, AND BY WHATEVER ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS ARE ANNUALLY RAISED THEREAFTER, BY IMPOSING AN ADDITIONAL MILL LEVY OF 2.5 MILLS FOR A TOTAL MILL LEVY OF 10.264 UPON TAXABLE PROPERTY WITHIN THE DISTRICT, COMMENCING IN TAX YEAR 2018 (FOR COLLECTION IN FISCAL YEAR 2019) WITH SUCH TAX PROCEEDS TO BE USED FOR GENERAL OPERATING AND CAPITAL EXPENSES, SPECIFICALLY INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO:

- UPGRADING AND INCREASING NEEDED FIRE AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL EQUIPMENT TO PROVIDE FOR THE PUBLIC’S SAFETY AND WELFARE;
- PROVIDING ADDITIONAL FULL-TIME STAFFING TO ACCOUNT FOR INCREASES IN CALL VOLUME, TO PERMIT THE OPERATION OF AN ADDITIONAL AMBULANCE, AND TO IMPROVE RESPONSE TIMES THROUGHOUT THE DISTRICT;
- AND SHALL SUCH TAXES BE COLLECTED AND SpENT BY THE DISTRICT AS VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND SPENDING CHANGES IN EACH YEAR, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING OR REVENUE LIMITATIONS CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES?

- Yes/For
- No/Against

**SOUTHEAST WELD FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BALLOT ISSUE 7I**

IN ORDER TO SUSTAIN ADEQUATE FIRE, RESCUE, AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES, AND ONLY IF THE RESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATE IS REDUCED BELOW THE CURRENT RATE ESTABLISHED PURSUANT TO SECTION 3 OF ARTICLE X, OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, SHALL THE SOUTHEAST WELD FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT (DISTRICT) BE PERMITTED TO ADJUST ITS PROPERTY TAX MILL LEVY TO OFFSET ANY RESULTING DECREASE IN REVENUE; AND SHALL SUCH TAX PROCEEDS BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND SPENDING CHANGES IN EACH YEAR, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY CONSTITUTIONAL OR STATUTORY SPENDING OR REVENUE LIMITATIONS CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION AND SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES?

- Yes/For
- No/Against

This ballot may consist of multiple pages. Please review and vote both sides of all pages.